



## How Do We Connect the Dots? Local Approaches to Preventing Multiple Forms of Violence

March 15, 2017

---

AKJ: Hi everyone! Welcome! We will be getting started at the top of the hour.

MB: For audio over the phone please call 1-888-447-7153, passcode 879 736#

AKJ: Hi everyone! I am here in beautiful Oakland, California where it is a little cool and overcast. Excited to be here with our partners at Prevention Institute.

MB: This is Meghna from PreventConnect team; we are in Oakland with our partners Prevention Institute.

MB: Slides for this session are available on <http://www.preventconnect.org/2017/02/how-do-we-connect-the-dots-local-approaches-to-preventing-multiple-forms-of-violence/>

PI: PI's and CDC's Connecting the Dots:  
[https://www.cdc.gov/violenceprevention/pub/connecting\\_dots.html](https://www.cdc.gov/violenceprevention/pub/connecting_dots.html)

MB: PreventConnect had hosted a web conference on Connecting the Dots in 2014 and the materials can be accessed here: <http://www.preventconnect.org/2014/10/connecting-the-dots-understanding-and-addressing-the-links-between-multiple-forms-of-violence/>

PI: CDC's Strategic Vision:  
<https://www.cdc.gov/violenceprevention/overview/strategicvision.html>

MB: PreventConnect did a web conference on this strategic vision report: Using Shared Risk and Protective Factors web conference materials available here:  
<http://www.preventconnect.org/2016/08/using-shared-risk-and-protective-factors-research-into-practice-and-policy/>

PI: How have you used Connecting the Dots or the CDC's Strategic Vision in your work?

Jl: I have never used it.

JD: Not yet

VP: I have not!

SC: No

LB: Not yet

SK: Not yet!

MT: Early stages

NW: Not yet

KP: no yet.

AD: I have never used it

LC: Yes! I love this report. I have used it in our Roots of Domestic and Sexual Violence to show the common risk factors.

AS: We are currently doing an analysis of our current prevention programs using it.

AM: From what I know the agency I am at has not used either of these!

DG: My agency has not yet

SV: Have not.

SO: Yes---absolutely use it & encourage others to use it!

EB: Program planning and development

KF: we have used it as a reference in applying for violence prevention grants

JB: Yes, in program planning

SK: Not yet

MC: We have expanded our Teen Alliance Council Mission to include Substance Abuse Prevention with Teen Dating Violence Prevention/Sexual Assault

KF: I have not used it.

AH: Used it as an introductory material for new prevention educators.

DZ: I work with the local 2-1-1 the crisis intervention line- my msw course is org and community systems

LH: We have used it extensively at the state and local level in Kansas. We are also looking at the connections between sexual violence and chronic health conditions.

NR: Yes, we are creating in our community a Response and Resource Team for all interpersonal violence, not only sexual assault

KF: and will use to help frame our multi-coalition violence prevention work

VL: to inform volunteer and partner trainings and create collaborations

SS: Have not used it, however, my agency, Shalom Task Force, has an active partnership with a sexual assault agency to address multiple forms of violence in the Orthodox Jewish community in NYC

JC: Strengthening partnerships across different health and violence outcomes!

TC: Yes! I tell people about it all the time & specifically I have used it to help our subcontractors (comprehensive sexual assault programs across Iowa) better understand risk & protective factors & how to conceptualize their work in that frame

KG: We are in the beginning phases of launching an initiative in Indiana to bring the entities working on the multiple forms of violence together, using a collective impact model. We're planning on using connecting the dots to complete a rapid needs assessment to see where Indiana stands on each of the listed risk/ protective factors

AM: We use it a lot. I refer to it to see which forms of violence are related by similar risk or protective factors

DH: We have shared it and are discussing it. We are currently gathering local data across different forms of violence and this could be a lens used to discuss the findings.

TW: Is this slideshow downloadable?

MB: @Tara: Slides for this session are available on <http://www.preventconnect.org/2017/02/how-do-we-connect-the-dots-local-approaches-to-preventing-multiple-forms-of-violence/>

TW: Got it, thanks ~

MB: You can access the CDC Technical Packages here: <https://www.cdc.gov/violenceprevention/pub/technical-packages.html>

MB: You can access the COLORADO: Addressing Shared Risk and Protective Factors Report here: <http://www.sprc.org/news/colorado-addressing-shared-risk-protective-factors>

PI: Colorado's Strategic Plan: <https://drive.google.com/file/d/0B4u1qfqmSaHjYml5RDhwaEIDUFU/view>

PI: What factors are you working on and what forms of violence are you addressing?

SC: Teen dating violence

EU: teen dating violence

LH: Great job Danelle! Love the strategic plan!

AH: Dating violence, sexual violence, and bullying among high school students.

LG: sexual assault, human trafficking (both labor and sex trafficking)

SV: sexual assault, domestic & dating violence

SS: Domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault. We have not identified risk and protective factors

CP: SV and DV within intimate partners

S-LC: Sexual Assault; Stalking; Domestic Violence with college students. Prevention; bystander intervention; education; support.

SO: IPV/SV/Bullying--gender norms, attitudes that support traditional gender norms and violence--community connectedness, and others

NN: Community Violence, human trafficking and intimate partner violence

KW: The education team at my organization focuses on preventing dating and domestic violence from middle school up through adulthood, but my position specifically focuses on preventing sexual and relationship violence on college campuses.

AM: Intimate partner violence and sexual violence.

VP: child abuse / foster youth

BT: working on reducing IPV; and working to Engage Men and Boys

LB: Any crime/violence listed under the Victims Right Act- but my professional history has been specifically working with DV/SA

AD: Sexual Assault

LC: We address sexual and domestic violence and collaborate with our local Stop Child Abuse and Neglect council to prevent child maltreatment.

MG: Sexual Assault

MR: Resilience/trauma-informed; addressing homelessness and suicide; Types of violence: DV, IPV, CM, ARA

JK: We are focused on addressing sexual violence, dating/partner violence, bullying/harassment, and child abuse (specifically CSA). We promote connectedness, empowerment, resilience, and justice in our community.

ADY: We're addressing both sexual violence and dating violence; we definitely enforce positive social norms; and focus on education for what these types of violence look like, how to identify them both in one's own relationships and others, and what to do about it, also how to pass these norms down to our children.

MM: We have four social norms, which our local programs focus on addressing: gender

socialization, normalization of violence, limited concepts of health and sexuality, and hypersexualization and objectification. We are working to prevent sexual assault.

VL: IPV/SV - connectedness, resilience, positive social norms, economics (to some degree)

VS: College sexual assault by discussing harmful gender norms, media literacy, and bystander intervention.

SS: We are addressing domestic and sexual violence

TC: Addressing sexual violence & looking at it from a shared risk & protective factor approach, historically looking at supportive relationships (connection to a caring adult) as protective factor

EH: Ending sexual violence and all violence via implementation of Green Dot statewide in Florida.

MP: Working on sexual violence prevention with youth focusing on addressing hyper masculinity, objectification of women, harmful gender norms

JD: We address intimate partner DV

SK: Risk: child abuse, gang violence, community violence. Protective: social mobility/economic stability, social capital, etc.

BM: Here at the center, we address intimate partner violence such as domestic violence and sexual assault. We also collaborate with the Department of Social Services to prevent sexual violence against children.

LU: Intimate partner violence, family violence, sexual assault

SER: Elvira, we are using Green Dot too!

JK: YES! Green Dot!

KF: We are using Green Dot also!

KF: youth violence, teen dating violence, child abuse, neglect, sexual assault, IPV

SB: Wyoming is working on the risk factors of alcohol and substance abuse and the protective factor of connectedness and positive social norms through the Communities that Care model. We are focusing on multiple forms of violence.

KF: childhood exposure of all

VP: It's really interesting to see the interconnectedness of all of these factors.

VP: There are so many layers!

AD: We just started using Green Dot in the AF last year

MB: You can access our last month's web conference materials here: This is what Alisha just spoke about: <http://www.preventconnect.org/2017/01/intertwined-and-aligned-supporting-health-equity-and-justice-in-sexual-and-domestic-violence-prevention/>

VP: Love this

BM: This is awesome!

MB: Sources of Strength website: <https://sourcesofstrength.org/>

SC: How do you identify the influencers in a school?

MW: Way to go Colorado!!

LC: Is this curriculum / program available to other communities?

DM: Green Dot has a great process for identifying influencers

NN: Donna- is it across the board for all green dots in the country?

MW: With kids constantly tuning into social media, how does Sources of Strength configure its structure and programming accordingly?

SS: WHAT DOES SEM mean?

KF: what does SEM stand for?

SO: Social Ecological Model

AKJ: @Erin @Sarah <https://www.cdc.gov/violenceprevention/overview/social-ecologicalmodel.html>

KF: thank you!

RC: Thank you for asking that question, Lisa!

LFP: Of course, Rebecca!

PI: Prevent Violence NC website - <http://preventviolencenc.org/>

PI: Who are some of the "unusual" partners that you have started working with to address multiple forms of violence? What are some of the barriers been to collaboration?

NN: faith based

NN: local business bureaus

JB: Hair stylists and bartenders as a form of "first responder" to be aware of signs and how to

help victims

ADY: Recently, I've been looking into raising awareness with a hooping club, and a bartender asked to create a tie dye party fundraiser. Haven't hit any significant barriers yet!

JD: As a IP-DV agency we heavily focus on homelessness, which has us, spending a ton of time with housing authorities. Also we work with many faiths based places in the community to help our people

KG: Indiana Public Health Association, researchers, and suicide prevention grant coordinator. We all talk about similar concepts, but we don't necessarily use the same language, so that's been a learning curve

AS: @Neillie what are you doing with local business bureaus? Sounds interesting

SJ: bartenders and hotel general managers, pastors - lots of rural areas with lots of close-knit communities in KS

DG: We are going to start collaborating with local faith leaders as well, and we are aware of barriers when addressing areas regarding the LGBTQ populations when discussing prevention in sexual assault and intimate partner violence

NN: relationship building between them and their customers

SV: Our county's domestic violence coalition.

JD: Our state partners with all places of business that would like to help us end violence. Puts managers and other community individuals into the front lines

NN: trying to change their perceptions of each other

SS: We are partnering with a hospital-based department of social work and the hospitals helpline for Orthodox Jewish survivors of sexual abuse

VS: Faith-based leaders and Religious studies professor has been the champion for our prevention work on campus

NN: youth talk about being followed in stores and such

JD: Sometimes it puts customers of these stores on the front lines

EB: At the Health Dept., we partner w/the sexual assault coalition MCASA and so many rape crisis centers, such as Hopeworks, Heartily House, and the Dove Center, who are all represented on this call! <3

CP: observed barriers from cultural and or religious perspectives

AS: @Nellie, thanks for expanding!

MP: Faith based organizations and churches. We have had to modify our curriculum to change language and "lighten up" the material

EH: Two identified priority populations that may be considered unusual would be community strategy in housing projects and Ebor City in Tampa...implementing Green Dot.

BT: Our partner, perhaps not 'unusual' includes a culturally specific organization + youth pride + local school district + DV org to provide direct services

SV: we are a 4-year university and therefore focus on the needs of college student. Our county's domestic violence coalition does not have the same focus of the unique needs of student victims.

NN: there are allies everywhere. Sometimes it's just a matter of making a connection and facilitating discussions in innovative ways

BM: We have partnered with local self-defense agencies to arrange free classes for victims and survivors of domestic and sexual violence.

NN: i.e. Listening Tours

SK: Traditional partners (social services, health, early childhood, etc.) exploring working with neighborhood councils

RSS: Please be sure all presenters are close to their microphones. It is challenging to hear some presenters. Thank you.

SS: Wig makers, Orthodox Jewish faith-based organizations

SJ: barriers - very conservative populations, often resistant to sex-ed in schools and much prevention work we do with children etc.

JD: PM: It isn't one business - our goal is to have every business in the state sign on. More info: <https://www.stepsvt.org/safe-at-work-network/>

DH: PM: Hard to hear him

MB: PM: Charlotte Housing NC website: <http://cha-nc.org/>

LH: PM: may be too close to the microphone

SS: PM: After years of working in a very conservative relations community, we are finally making some headway, not in sex education, in in sexual violence prevention education.. Have faith Savannah

JD: It's nice to see housing authorities being so involved. Ours are starting to be but they could learn from NC

NN: Can you expand on the community social marketing campaign?



LW: Wow!

TW: Any work with Child Welfare System?

JD: Attempts to engage are going on in VT

CK: Jackie - Are you speaking directly about engaging child welfare around prevention in VT?

JD: We are attempting to work much more closely with child welfare at all DV/SV agencies. We are starting to bring each other into conversations and starting to set meetings together and learn to work together

JD: We've met a lot of resistance in the past

VP: thank you so much for this wonderful and informative webinar. have a great day!

CK: Thanks, Jackie - I'd love to chat sometime about your efforts. :)

JD: [jackied@stepsvt.org](mailto:jackied@stepsvt.org)

JD: We can chat, no problem

CK: Thanks!

SER: We are about to start our statewide injury and violence prevention plan in Missouri, so this is very helpful!

JD: Have there been barriers/struggles to hiring across agencies? Question for CO tips

RC: Sounds like it is all about relationships and building strong collaborative!

DF: Absolutely, Rebecca!

JD: Tough to break through past issues with former partners at times, even with new staff.

PI: Colorado's strategic plan

<https://drive.google.com/file/d/0B4u1qfqmSaHjYml5RDhwaEIDUFU/view> Prevent Violence NC website - <http://preventviolencenc.org/> Connecting the Dots -

[https://www.cdc.gov/violenceprevention/pub/connecting\\_dots.html](https://www.cdc.gov/violenceprevention/pub/connecting_dots.html) CDC Strategic Vision - <http://www.preventconnect.org/2016/08/using-shared-risk-and-protective-factors-research-into-practice-and-policy/> CDC technical packages for violence prevention -

<https://www.cdc.gov/violenceprevention/pub/technical-packages.html>

BT: Thanks for the "Tips from NC" -- and the many other great resources--good food for thought and action planning.

DH: Thank you!

JD: Thank you for all of this!

EU: PM: Thank you!

SKoepke: Thank you.

NW: Thank you

CP: Thank you!

OT: This was very informative, thank you!

DO: Great presentation. Thank you to all presenters for the great information.

LU: Thank you

LFP: Thank you everyone!

SO: Thank you this was great!

LW: Thank you!

RS: Thank you!

BM: Thank you!

MW: Thanks so much!

MM: Thank You

PD: Great Job